


Q1 Please rate the following (1 = poor, 3 = neutral, 5 = very good)

Answered: 80 Skipped: 1



| | Poor | (no label) | Neutral | (no label) | Very Good | Total | Average Rating |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------------|
| Clarity of information | 0.00% 0 | 2.50% 2 | 18.75% 15 | 52.50% 42 | 26.25% 21 | 80 | 4.03 |
| Opportunity to learn | 0.00% 0 | 3.75% 3 | 10.00% 8 | 50.00% 40 | 36.25% 29 | 80 | 4.19 |
| Opportunity to provide input | 3.75% 3 | 5.00% 4 | 10.00% 8 | 41.25% 33 | 40.00% 32 | 80 | 4.09 |
| Enjoyable & interesting event | 0.00% 0 | 2.50% 2 | 11.25% 9 | 41.25% 33 | 45.00% 36 | 80 | 4.29 |

Q2 What was the most interesting thing you learned?

Answered: 61 Skipped: 20

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Who is involved in this process, COA is working on changing the permit process. | 6/23/2014 2:37 PM |
| 2 | Portland Infill Design Toolkit | 6/23/2014 2:36 PM |
| 3 | Very glad to hear recognition that one size fits all does NOT work. | 6/23/2014 2:34 PM |
| 4 | Potential tools that can be utilized to address compatibility contextually. | 6/23/2014 2:33 PM |
| 5 | Manner in which Denver dealt with compatibility. | 6/23/2014 2:31 PM |
| 6 | The need for compatibility standards in between residential and commercial mixed-use. | 6/23/2014 2:29 PM |
| 7 | The complexity associated with the new code development and the inability to apply common sense. | 6/23/2014 2:27 PM |
| 8 | Compatibility is all about context. | 6/23/2014 2:23 PM |
| 9 | Don't set policy through zoning | 6/23/2014 2:22 PM |
| 10 | Other city programs - Denver, CO. | 6/23/2014 2:21 PM |
| 11 | What other cities have done. | 6/23/2014 2:19 PM |
| 12 | Moving to a form based code while integrating compatibility directly into zoning. | 6/23/2014 2:17 PM |
| 13 | Form vs. use | 6/23/2014 2:15 PM |
| 14 | Compatibility and how it has been addressed in other cities. | 6/23/2014 2:14 PM |
| 15 | Form vs use Planning vs zoning Density vs building footprint | 6/23/2014 2:13 PM |
| 16 | The presentation and the "tools" was very interesting and informative. | 6/23/2014 2:11 PM |
| 17 | Examples of tools from other cities. | 6/23/2014 2:08 PM |
| 18 | Input, things to consider in achieving compatibility. | 6/23/2014 2:06 PM |
| 19 | Perspectives from different neighborhoods. | 6/23/2014 2:04 PM |
| 20 | Different approaches for different contexts. | 6/23/2014 2:03 PM |
| 21 | That there is a small chance that city planning might be forced to finally understand one size CANNOT fit all areas. | 6/23/2014 2:00 PM |
| 22 | Looking at how other cities are successfully approaching code. | 6/23/2014 1:57 PM |
| 23 | Compatibility standards in other cities that was implemented successfully? | 6/23/2014 1:56 PM |
| 24 | How other cities implemented new. | 6/23/2014 1:55 PM |
| 25 | That renters are concerned with input into compatibility code! (The commend from the floor by Stuart Hersch). | 6/23/2014 12:55 PM |
| 26 | There is hope...maybe planning within context within code. | 6/23/2014 12:52 PM |
| 27 | That CodeNEXT recognizes that neighborhood plans should be honored and code can't be one-size-fits-all. | 6/23/2014 11:55 AM |
| 28 | That staff and consultants are still trying to manipulate the discussion. | 6/23/2014 11:54 AM |
| 29 | How tight and restrictive the code is as it stands. | 6/23/2014 11:52 AM |
| 30 | Other people's concerns | 6/23/2014 11:50 AM |
| 31 | Relationship between code requirements, behaviour, design etc on compatibility. | 6/23/2014 11:49 AM |

CodeTALK - Compatibility

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 32 | Not much - I work in the field and not much new here. | 6/23/2014 11:48 AM |
| 33 | That the current code has no definition to "compatibility". | 6/23/2014 11:47 AM |
| 34 | Perhaps what we have to say will be heard - those of us who are not developers/planners. | 6/23/2014 11:45 AM |
| 35 | How other metropolitan areas are improving their codes with zoning. | 6/23/2014 11:43 AM |
| 36 | Illustrations might be part of the new code. | 6/23/2014 11:40 AM |
| 37 | There is a lack of clarity as to the understanding of what Article 10 is and how it is applied; and therefore how it is applied. | 6/23/2014 11:39 AM |
| 38 | I had two architects at my table who helped explain explain concepts. | 6/23/2014 11:37 AM |
| 39 | Updates on process, point of view from DIAs and table. | 6/23/2014 11:36 AM |
| 40 | Background/perspective on Opticos' take on compact. | 6/23/2014 11:35 AM |
| 41 | About the process. | 6/23/2014 11:14 AM |
| 42 | Temporal uses change over time. | 6/23/2014 11:12 AM |
| 43 | Other neighborhood struggles. | 6/23/2014 11:11 AM |
| 44 | Karen's comment on Hyde Park Apartments that can not be redeveloped. | 6/23/2014 11:09 AM |
| 45 | Consultant presentation. Mueller housing types. | 6/23/2014 11:09 AM |
| 46 | That there will be more opportunities for input. | 6/23/2014 11:07 AM |
| 47 | Team understands different areas have different characteristics and requirements. | 6/23/2014 11:06 AM |
| 48 | It was interesting to hear that neighborhood plans will remain and be respected. | 6/23/2014 10:55 AM |
| 49 | Coverage of Mueller types that are not allowed around town. | 6/23/2014 10:52 AM |
| 50 | Information regarding the specifics of the Mueller PUD | 6/18/2014 5:40 PM |
| 51 | Mueller development talk | 6/18/2014 4:21 PM |
| 52 | Context driven compatibility | 6/18/2014 4:19 PM |
| 53 | How important compatibility is to so many Austinites | 6/18/2014 4:16 PM |
| 54 | Compatibility of housing types | 6/18/2014 4:11 PM |
| 55 | different types of housing types available at Mueller | 6/18/2014 4:08 PM |
| 56 | What other cities have done | 6/18/2014 4:06 PM |
| 57 | concept of "underbelly" - don't have one! | 6/18/2014 4:00 PM |
| 58 | Other people's concerns about density and compatibility | 6/18/2014 3:57 PM |
| 59 | Putting "compatibility" into some more informed context for me personally | 6/18/2014 3:55 PM |
| 60 | Definitions and illustrations of compatible dwellings/structures | 6/18/2014 3:53 PM |
| 61 | I appreciated the presentation on Mueller but would like to see more about application of those types in existing neighborhoods | 6/18/2014 3:50 PM |

Q3 What did you like about the CodeTALK?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 16

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Hearing from the panel. | 6/23/2014 2:37 PM |
| 2 | That it happened. | 6/23/2014 2:36 PM |
| 3 | Being involved, educated, giving feedback. | 6/23/2014 2:35 PM |
| 4 | Good to have a table discussion and hear different points of view. | 6/23/2014 2:34 PM |
| 5 | Open dialogue - would like more Q&A. | 6/23/2014 2:33 PM |
| 6 | That Dan Parolek and Peter Park were present to discuss and make presentation. | 6/23/2014 2:31 PM |
| 7 | A very good and thoughtful start to a larger task! | 6/23/2014 2:29 PM |
| 8 | The specifics were informative and knowledgeable. | 6/23/2014 2:27 PM |
| 9 | Simple, concise, and always made personally relevant. | 6/23/2014 2:23 PM |
| 10 | Great graphics | 6/23/2014 2:22 PM |
| 11 | Panel discussions and presentations. | 6/23/2014 2:21 PM |
| 12 | Opportunity to learn and participate. | 6/23/2014 2:20 PM |
| 13 | The panel - diverse, I didn't agree with all, but good to hear different viewpoints. | 6/23/2014 2:19 PM |
| 14 | Diversity in panelists. | 6/23/2014 2:17 PM |
| 15 | Opportunity to discuss important changes to the city. | 6/23/2014 2:15 PM |
| 16 | The panel of local experts. Found it very beneficial to hear from these folks who have experience working locally. | 6/23/2014 2:14 PM |
| 17 | - local panel experts - table moderators | 6/23/2014 2:13 PM |
| 18 | The panelists were really good. The table discussion was excellent. | 6/23/2014 2:11 PM |
| 19 | Table talks | 6/23/2014 2:09 PM |
| 20 | Diversity of program - hearing from professionals in community, from consultants and opportunity to talk with others. | 6/23/2014 2:08 PM |
| 21 | The table input. I would have liked a summary or some examples from other tables too. | 6/23/2014 2:06 PM |
| 22 | Format - panel discussion followed by brief table discussion. | 6/23/2014 2:04 PM |
| 23 | Tools from other cities. | 6/23/2014 2:03 PM |
| 24 | The people. I fear this exercise which was way too short will be used to say the process had good engagement/input. | 6/23/2014 2:00 PM |
| 25 | Format moved it along quickly. | 6/23/2014 1:57 PM |
| 26 | Group discussion with a table moderator... helps facilitate a larger conversation. | 6/23/2014 1:56 PM |
| 27 | Discussing CodeNEXT with other neighborhood residents. | 6/23/2014 1:55 PM |
| 28 | Handouts that do a lot of the note taking allow more time to think. | 6/23/2014 12:55 PM |
| 29 | It might work - Concept is right on for context. | 6/23/2014 12:52 PM |
| 30 | Very much like the vigorous effort to get community engagement. | 6/23/2014 11:57 AM |
| 31 | Good moderator. | 6/23/2014 11:55 AM |
| 32 | Michael Hsu's thoughts and words. | 6/23/2014 11:52 AM |
| 33 | Table discussions. | 6/23/2014 11:50 AM |

CodeTALK - Compatibility

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 34 | Opportunity to interact with other residents. | 6/23/2014 11:49 AM |
| 35 | Table discussions | 6/23/2014 11:47 AM |
| 36 | Opportunity to hear other points of view at the table. | 6/23/2014 11:45 AM |
| 37 | Lots of discussion time. | 6/23/2014 11:43 AM |
| 38 | The illustrations. | 6/23/2014 11:40 AM |
| 39 | Panel discussion provided wide range of views. | 6/23/2014 11:39 AM |
| 40 | Love it. | 6/23/2014 11:37 AM |
| 41 | Opportunity to meet people from all sides of the conversation. | 6/23/2014 11:35 AM |
| 42 | I like this one that is three hours more than Thursday which was two hours. | 6/23/2014 11:34 AM |
| 43 | Panel | 6/23/2014 11:14 AM |
| 44 | The table discussion. | 6/23/2014 11:13 AM |
| 45 | Table discussion. | 6/23/2014 11:12 AM |
| 46 | Meeting other Austin residents and discussing issues. | 6/23/2014 11:11 AM |
| 47 | Very informative - diverse view points. | 6/23/2014 11:09 AM |
| 48 | Good information and presentation by consultants. Good turnout. | 6/23/2014 11:09 AM |
| 49 | Exchange of ideas. | 6/23/2014 11:06 AM |
| 50 | Panelists | 6/23/2014 11:05 AM |
| 51 | To hear other communities request for similar issues/concerns. | 6/23/2014 11:04 AM |
| 52 | The format was good. I liked the panel and table discussions. | 6/23/2014 10:55 AM |
| 53 | Varied view presented. | 6/23/2014 10:52 AM |
| 54 | Perhaps my expectations were too high. I actually assumed that we would be able to comment on proposed compatibility standards, not try to define the word. | 6/18/2014 5:40 PM |
| 55 | Group session at the table | 6/18/2014 4:21 PM |
| 56 | Open dialogue | 6/18/2014 4:19 PM |
| 57 | Respecting diversity of opinion and presenting diverse opinions | 6/18/2014 4:16 PM |
| 58 | Talked up a | 6/18/2014 4:14 PM |
| 59 | Table discussion and opportunity for table discussion to be discussed with full group | 6/18/2014 4:12 PM |
| 60 | Hopefully will restrict any commercial building to be compatible and not a poisoning agent to residences | 6/18/2014 4:11 PM |
| 61 | Opportunity to have input and learn from others in other neighborhoods | 6/18/2014 4:06 PM |
| 62 | Assurance that compatibility really will be considered | 6/18/2014 4:00 PM |
| 63 | I like the opportunity to talk w/ people from other neighborhoods w/ various perspectives. | 6/18/2014 3:57 PM |
| 64 | The pace | 6/18/2014 3:55 PM |
| 65 | Allotment for both presentations and group discussions | 6/18/2014 3:50 PM |

Q4 What would you change to improve the CodeTALK?

Answered: 58 Skipped: 23

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Access to all comments/suggestions/questions on the website. | 6/23/2014 2:36 PM |
| 2 | More time for discussion, questions of panel. | 6/23/2014 2:35 PM |
| 3 | Maybe slightly longer - we started good table discussions that we couldn't finish. | 6/23/2014 2:34 PM |
| 4 | Examples of real City of Austin compatibility - good, bad, ugly- real issues. Ex: measurement of height matters, site topography etc. | 6/23/2014 2:33 PM |
| 5 | Add more people from business/development community to the panel. Seemed to be weighted to neighborhood associations. | 6/23/2014 2:31 PM |
| 6 | Perhaps links, emails ahead of time that could serve to educate participants. | 6/23/2014 2:29 PM |
| 7 | List of acronyms used - like "Far"- add to agenda | 6/23/2014 2:22 PM |
| 8 | A little rushed. I felt like there was more, but time didn't allow it. Don't tempt us with what we can't have. | 6/23/2014 2:19 PM |
| 9 | Allow panelists more time and reduce the redundant CodeNEXT presentations. While informative, the same information does not need to be continuous bulk of presentations. | 6/23/2014 2:17 PM |
| 10 | Start on time so it's not rushed. | 6/23/2014 2:15 PM |
| 11 | Direct questions to the Code team. Maybe after answering the table questions to address whatever we didn't get to. | 6/23/2014 2:14 PM |
| 12 | Slightly less structure at table discussions. | 6/23/2014 2:13 PM |
| 13 | Clarity; ability to comprehend ease of understanding. | 6/23/2014 2:09 PM |
| 14 | Make it easier to find event information on the CodeNEXT website. | 6/23/2014 2:08 PM |
| 15 | Make sure presenters/speakers define acronyms and terms - like FAR, SF3, SF5, LO? GO? U? Density, ADU, or maybe add a glossary. Those were foreign to me. | 6/23/2014 2:06 PM |
| 16 | Do more of these! For affordability. | 6/23/2014 2:04 PM |
| 17 | Don't need the panel, more time at table; don't need responses to questions from Opticos. | 6/23/2014 2:03 PM |
| 18 | Allow more time for input and allow Q&A after panel, after presentation and after the small table questions were answered. | 6/23/2014 2:00 PM |
| 19 | Not very in-depth but I don't know how you can change this in short time frames. | 6/23/2014 1:57 PM |
| 20 | More time allotted, hear from a wider variety of stakeholders on panel discussions. | 6/23/2014 1:56 PM |
| 21 | More time devoted to discussion. | 6/23/2014 1:55 PM |
| 22 | More time, more context of the overall system of governance. The Imagine Austin Plan is scheduled to be reviewed and changed every 5 years? How will compact keep up? | 6/23/2014 12:55 PM |
| 23 | More understanding and discussion. Broken out for graphics 1. Urban 2. Transitional 3. Driveable | 6/23/2014 12:52 PM |
| 24 | More data in presentation on what is actually being done. Also want to hear input from developers, it seems largely neighborhood voice. Need more symmetry on both sides. | 6/23/2014 11:57 AM |
| 25 | More time to develop and share ideas. | 6/23/2014 11:55 AM |
| 26 | Allow more time for table discussion. | 6/23/2014 11:54 AM |
| 27 | Come from a more positive stand point on change. Change is inevitable, let's get into where to direct it. | 6/23/2014 11:52 AM |

CodeTALK - Compatibility

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 28 | More engagement with low income/affordable housing/multifamily. | 6/23/2014 11:51 AM |
| 29 | Less comparing of Austin to other cities. | 6/23/2014 11:50 AM |
| 30 | Seems about right. | 6/23/2014 11:49 AM |
| 31 | Felt like I was being talked to - needed more response to stakeholder questions. Maybe have stakes submit questions ahead of time. | 6/23/2014 11:48 AM |
| 32 | Food! | 6/23/2014 11:47 AM |
| 33 | More comments heard from entire group. | 6/23/2014 11:45 AM |
| 34 | Define the stakeholders that are assumed to be at the table. Developers, neighborhood associations? Low income residents? "Compatibility" is only as meaningful as the diversity of the voices being given attention. If developers are the only ones at the table, there is no one to have to be compatible with. | 6/23/2014 11:42 AM |
| 35 | Longer conversation time. We were just getting going. | 6/23/2014 11:40 AM |
| 36 | Longer panel/consultant discussion, less public comment. | 6/23/2014 11:39 AM |
| 37 | Shorter time | 6/23/2014 11:36 AM |
| 38 | Make room warmer - it was freezing. | 6/23/2014 11:14 AM |
| 39 | Longer time for discussion. | 6/23/2014 11:13 AM |
| 40 | Allow question from audience to panel. | 6/23/2014 11:12 AM |
| 41 | I felt the talk on Mueller was a waste of time - that was a planned development - most of us are already in existing neighborhoods. | 6/23/2014 11:11 AM |
| 42 | Show more case studies and precedents to reinforce ideas. | 6/23/2014 11:09 AM |
| 43 | More lead time for meetings- one week advance notice is not sufficient. | 6/23/2014 11:06 AM |
| 44 | More discussion from panelists- less selling Opticos | 6/23/2014 11:05 AM |
| 45 | Need information on what has not worked with code so far. | 6/23/2014 11:04 AM |
| 46 | More examples from existing areas- Mueller is nice but a unique development. | 6/23/2014 10:55 AM |
| 47 | More detail. | 6/23/2014 10:52 AM |
| 48 | I would like to see more proposed regulations for each topic. | 6/18/2014 5:40 PM |
| 49 | Nothing | 6/18/2014 4:21 PM |
| 50 | Need to operate from same set of facts | 6/18/2014 4:19 PM |
| 51 | May need a longer program/agenda to allow more participant/work to emerge | 6/18/2014 4:16 PM |
| 52 | Start showing ideas for new tools | 6/18/2014 4:14 PM |
| 53 | Did not take up anything about restricting gas stations or any chemical pollutants as far as poisoning residents | 6/18/2014 4:11 PM |
| 54 | Provide info on how density will really improve affordability across Austin | 6/18/2014 4:08 PM |
| 55 | More diverse group | 6/18/2014 4:06 PM |
| 56 | More response time for panel to address questions/concerns. | 6/18/2014 3:55 PM |
| 57 | Allow for more public discussion with the entire participants | 6/18/2014 3:53 PM |
| 58 | Would like more opportunity for direct interaction with code team - I recognize that is difficult time-wise | 6/18/2014 3:50 PM |

CodeTALK - Compatibility

Q5 Other comments or suggestions?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 58

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Keep up the good work! Conversation is what we need. | 6/23/2014 2:29 PM |
| 2 | Keep up the good work. | 6/23/2014 2:19 PM |
| 3 | Links to presentations so that they can be accessed. | 6/23/2014 2:11 PM |
| 4 | Look forward to more detail technical discussions. | 6/23/2014 2:10 PM |
| 5 | There were panels in hallway that were not explained as part of program. | 6/23/2014 2:08 PM |
| 6 | Provide more beverage choices or advise us to bring our own beverages. I don't drink coffee. Tea, sodas, bottled water or iced pitchers of water would be appreciated. This was well organized. Thank you. | 6/23/2014 2:06 PM |
| 7 | Good that this is looked at as the start of the conversation. | 6/23/2014 2:03 PM |
| 8 | It really bothers me that city meetings only allow small group conversation and restricts audience-wide Q&A. | 6/23/2014 2:00 PM |
| 9 | Please provide planned unit development guidelines/entitlements in the code. Will PUD's allow end-runs around the Imagine Austin Plan or a way for neighborhood protests to block Imagine Austin Goals? | 6/23/2014 12:55 PM |
| 10 | We the stakeholders must have standing in context planning. | 6/23/2014 12:52 PM |
| 11 | Needs more depth in presentations. | 6/23/2014 11:55 AM |
| 12 | Everyone threw out unquestioned prices on things like "what's appropriate" or "where it makes sense" or "results should be predictable". Need to step back and address those. | 6/23/2014 11:47 AM |
| 13 | Have a CodeTALK for citizens living in historic districts. Have a CodeTALK for renters. | 6/23/2014 11:45 AM |
| 14 | Please send email follow up to all attendees with links such as answers to questions. | 6/23/2014 11:43 AM |
| 15 | Doing a good job! | 6/23/2014 11:40 AM |
| 16 | Thanks! | 6/23/2014 11:35 AM |
| 17 | Thank you! | 6/23/2014 11:12 AM |
| 18 | Dealing with a Crossfit gym behind my home - APD has done nothing about the noise - there is another Crossfit five blocks away, a complete nightmare. | 6/23/2014 11:11 AM |
| 19 | Get our City Council to stop trying to change citywide code and overriding the process. | 6/23/2014 10:55 AM |
| 20 | Polling/voting on issues ("clickers") presented in well-crafted questions that help answer controversial questions | 6/18/2014 4:16 PM |
| 21 | What is CodeNext going to do with Neighborhood Plans? | 6/18/2014 4:14 PM |
| 22 | Restrict all gasoline stations to be at least 300 feet from any residential housing | 6/18/2014 4:11 PM |
| 23 | Include all comments on the website | 6/18/2014 4:00 PM |